

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1950 Alexandria, Virgana 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,817	06/18/2001	Jens Barrenscheen	GR 00 P 12246	GR 00 P 12246 2567	
24131	7590 08/19/2005		EXAMINER		
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			KNOLL, CLIFFORD H		
P O BOX 248 HOLLYWOO	0 D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2112		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/883,817	BARRENSCHEEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Clifford H. Knoll	2112		

		741 011,1	ł .
	Clifford H. Knoll	2112	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		-	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) will will be a will will be a will	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached Response to Arguments. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	•		

Application/Control Number: 09/883,817

Art Unit: 2112

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Deng does not disclose "transmission of such 'units' or frames having a period defined by the frame sent by a first device, within which second devices to which the data does not concern and third devices to which the data does concern, can output information and/or data" and "[m]ore particularly" that "receivers downstream of the sender that are intended to receive the message and that are not intended to receive the message and that are not intended to receive the message output information and/or data onto the bus during a period of the frame sent by the sender" (p. 5, emphasis original), however this feature is not supported by recitation that would distinguish from Deng. The claim merely states that units are formed "with at least one region defining a given time slot within which the second and third devices can output" (claim 1). Deng discloses a region defining a given time slot (Deng's "sub-action gap" and subsequent arbitration period).

Applicant further argues that in Deng "only a uniquely addressed destination returns a code" (p. 7); however this neglects the action of the arbitration period, where "devices to which the data does not concern" in fact sends data. Deng's sub-action gap and subsequent arbitration period collectively comprise a defined "region" for both devices (those the data concerns and does not concern).

Applicant further argues that the "subaction gap' is not part of the transmitted data packet" (p. 7); however, as stated supra and argued in the previous Office Action (5/9/2000, p. 7, lines 16-21), Examiner considers the subaction gap and arbitration period to be a region that is formed as the "forming" step of the method. The data packet as argued is not recited in a way that would distinguish from this interpretation.

Art Unit: 2112

Thus the Examiner maintains the rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clifford H. Knoll whose telephone number is 571-272-3636. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 0630-1500.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL R. MYERS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Parl R Mys

Page 3

chk